PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

rom the NTERNATI	ONAL SEARCH	ING AUTHO	ORITY				REC'D	0 2 MC	IV 2005
To: PAUL FENSTER FENSTER & COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LTD. P.O. BOX 10256 PEACH TIKVA, ISRAEL 49002					WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)				
					Date of mailing	(PC1 Kme 4		OCT	2 005
Applicant's	s or agent's file re	ference			(day/month/year) FOR FURTHER	ACTION See paragraph 2 b			
416/04364									
Internation	Intollianona, -FF					29 March 2004 (29.03.2004)			
PCT/IL05/00356 29 March 2005 (29.03.2 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification				classificati	ion and IPC	2) IIII OII 2004	(32.22.200		
	55D 35/22 and US								
Applicant									
POLYNES	ST TECHNOLOG	ES LTD.		····					
4 1771	opinion contains ir	dications rol	ating to the follo	wing item	ns:				
1. This c	opinion contains ir								
	Box No. I	Basis of the	e opinion						
	Box No. II	Priority						1 1112	
	Box No. III	Non-establ	ishment of opini	on with re	egard to novelty, inve	entive step and ind	ustrial app	псавину	
	Box No. IV		ity of invention						
	Box No. V	Reasoned applicabili	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability, citations and explanations supporting such statement						
	Box No. VI	Certain do	cuments cited						
	Box No. VII	Certain de	fects in the intern	national ap	pplication				
	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application								
If a control interpretation in the state of	national Prelimin ority other than the written opinions o	national preli ary Examini his one to be f this Interna	ng Authority (the IPEA and t tional Searching	the chosen Authority	ade, this opinion wil except that this doe 1 IPEA has notified will not be so consi	the International Edered.	Bureau und	ler Rule 6	6.1 <i>bis(b</i>)
IPEA of Fo		ogether, who or before the	ere appropriate, vere expiration of 2.		itten opinion of the adments, before the efform the priority date			ed to subn the date o	nit to the f mailing
3. For f	further details, see	notes to For	m PCT/ISA/220		A	A		2	>
Name and	d mailing address	of the ISA/U	JS		Authorized office	مساهي ـــ	- /<	مك	
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents				Joseph A. Kau	fman	`			
	P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgin		ı	p. P. C.	Telephone No.	(703)308-0975			
Facsimile	e No. (703) 305-3 7/ISA/237 (cover s	230 sheet) (Januar	ry 2004)	/					

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/IL05/00356

Box No. I	Basis of this opinion
	and the state of t
filed	ard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it l, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	his opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, his opinion has been established on the basis of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
) With rea	ard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed not this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a. ty	ype of material
	a sequence listing
	table(s) related to the sequence listing
b. f	Format of material
	in written format
	in computer readable form
c. t	time of filing/furnishing
[contained in international application as filed.
[filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
[furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additio	onal comments:
ı	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IL05/00356

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement YES Claims Please See Continuation Sheet Novelty (N) NO Claims Please See Continuation Sheet YES Claims Please See Continuation Sheet Inventive step (IS) NO Claims Please See Continuation Sheet YES Claims Please See Continuation Sheet Industrial applicability (IA) NO Claims Please See Continuation Sheet

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-4, 6, 7, 9, 11, 15, 16, 19, 20, 25-27, 29, 36 and 38 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Pittman et al. Pittman et al. shows a casing 1; mixing chamber 22; port in 3; flow generator/pump 4; part of the device can be held by the hand; recess in the casing; numeral 10 shows the different rates for the pump; nozzle in 25; pusher 3; and air motor 2.

Claims 32-35 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Keller.

Keller shows bag compartments 2 and 3 that are capable of holding foam generating chemicals; nozzle/tube 13; and pump 23.

Claims 5, 8, 12, 17, 18, 21 and 24 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Pittman et al.

Pittman et al. has been discussed above, but lacks the single container, the specific weight, the specific pressure, the flexible, non-adhering nozzle and the pressure valve. It would have been obvious to provide the single container with multiple compartments instead of multiple containers in order save material and space. The specific weight and pressure would have been obvious dependent on the intended use of the device. The details of the nozzle would have been obvious in order to promote even and continual dispensing. Finally, the pressure valve would have been obvious in order to prevent overpressurization of the material.

Claims 13, 14, 28 and 37 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Pittman et al. in view of Morgan.

Pittman et al. has been discussed above, but lacks the heaters. Morgan shows heaters in 10. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide heaters as taught by Morgan on the device of Pittman et al. in order to promote flow of material out of the dispenser.

Claims 10, 22,23, 30 and 31 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the suction gears, the pressure actuating the mixing chamber and the details of the heating base.

Claims 1-38 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IL05/00356

Supplemental Box In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.										
V.1. Reasoned Statements: The opinion as to Novelty was positive (Yes) with respect to claims 5, 8, 10, 12-14, 17, 18, 21-24, 28, 30, 31 and 37 The opinion as to Novelty was negative (No) with respect to claims 1-4, 6, 7, 9, 11, 15, 16, 19, 20, 25-27, 29, 32-36 and 38 The opinion as to Inventive Step was positive (Yes) with respect to claims 10, 22, 23, 30, 31 The opinion as to Inventive Step was negative (NO) with respect to claims 1-9, 11-21, 24-29, 32-38 The opinion as to Industrial Applicability was positive (YES) with respect to claims 1-38 The opinion as to Industrial Applicability was negative (NO) with respect to claims NONE										